



wrongly written as 2025. The AI pointed it out.

We in TheLawyerics have also tried AI for legal research. Sometimes it returns useful information and sometimes not. One always needs to be on the guard when reputed AI brands are used. The law reports which are in Indian market are struggling to infuse AI in their database so that legal research is made still better. Let us see when they come out with a law-specific AI model which specifically caters to the needs of judicial system.

The main problem is that most of the reputed AI models in the market invite you to take their help in drafting legal documents, or to summarise the documents, like the judgments. This is where the AI is not yet perfect and creates a problem. For the sake of fun, we invite you to ask some popular AI model to draft a live-in relationship agreement for you. And see carefully whether you get some clauses which appear to be of rent agreement!

Our general experience is that a lawyer should not subject his or her professional wisdom to AI's

wisdom. AI's role should never be taken as decisive.

The way the judicial administration in India is debilitating due to delays, makes it imperative that AI is pressed into service but for the time being, a credible AI model is not yet available. It is for the Supreme Court to assume leadership for this. If the Supreme Court is taking several Public Interest Litigations (PILs), some of which are unnecessary, it should divert its attention to the issue on which it ought to.

