



it should have been, AI got confused, and it kept repeating the same thing. I have tried almost all the models which are presently available to public. None is perfect.

Recently, there were two disturbing news about AI. A boy took advice from AI and committed suicide. Now the matter is in the court. Similarly, in another case, a person made his medical condition worse by acting on the information provided by AI. Sometime back a lawyer was in trouble in USA because of injudicious use of AI. Governments all over the world are acting stupidly by allowing it to happen when almost all professions are regulated by law. You need to be qualified and need a licence to undertake professional practice but AI is doing it unchecked.

In the previous centuries, whenever there was any risky innovation, Government stepped in to regulate it.

Take for example, aircraft, railways, motor vehicle, all are regulated activities. Even for producing electricity by any mode, thermal, hydro or nuclear, you need to undergo regulatory compliance. Why this is not being done for AI is a million dollar question. It is a common law principle that every utility can be regulated by law for the benefit of public.

At least one model of AI regulation – the EU model – is available for guidance, and Government can take it as a starting point.

The Information Technology law has worked in India for about 25 years. It is a patchy work. All digital technologies have to be dealt with comprehensively in a single framework covering, law and order, governance, public welfare, consumer protection, product liability, etc. The earlier the Government starts the better it is.